

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 9/20/10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
In re GILDAN ACTIVEWEAR INC.
SECURITIES LITIGATION

:
:
: 08 Civ. 5048

:
: CLASS ACTION

:
: ORDER
:
:
-----X

Hon. Harold Baer, Jr., U.S.D.J.:

WHEREAS on August 10, 2010, Lead Plaintiffs City of St. Clair Shores Police and Fireman Retirement System, Norfolk County Retirement System, City of Pontiac Policemen’s and Firemen’s Retirement System, and City of Detroit Policeman’s and Fireman’s Retirement Systems (collectively “Plaintiffs”) submitted an unopposed motion for preliminary approval of the proposed settlement in the above-captioned action; and

WHEREAS in order to approve the proposed settlement, the Court must approve, among other things, (1) the proposed U.S. class, (2) the appointment of Plaintiffs’ counsel as Co-Lead counsel for the class, and (3) the proposed short- and long-form notices that will be sent to class members; and

WHEREAS this proposed class includes thousands of participants, both male and female, arguably from diverse backgrounds, and it is therefore important to all concerned that there is evidence of diversity, in terms of race and gender, in the class counsel I appoint, *see In re J.P. Morgan Chase Cash Balance Litigation*, 242 F.R.D. 265, 277 (S.D.N.Y. 2007); it is hereby

ORDERED that Co-Lead Counsel, Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP and Labaton Sucharow LLP, shall make every effort to assign to this matter at least one minority lawyer and one woman lawyer with requisite experience; and it is further

ORDERED that by October 1, 2010, Plaintiffs shall submit to the Court a revised long-form notice that is half the length of the current proposed long-form notice, *e.g.*, no more than 13 pages; and it is further


ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall determine provide the Court with one or more agreed upon Administrators whom they believe will be capable of filling this role and provide the name(s) to the Court with a C.V. by October 1, 2010; and it is further

ORDERED that Plaintiffs shall prepare to discuss with the Court their plan for circulating notice to class members, shall calculate the approximate cost of mailing each potential class member a copy of the long-form notice, and shall prepare to discuss less expensive alternatives to the proposed methods of effecting notice; and it is further

ORDERED that the parties shall appear for a preliminary approval hearing on October 7, 2010, at 12:30 p.m., at which point Plaintiffs' compliance with the diversity requirement, as well as the other requirements listed here, will be evaluated, and a date for the Settlement Fairness Hearing shall be set.

SO ORDERED

New York, New York
September 20 2010



U.S.D.J.